#### Software Security Course

Lecture #01 Supplement: Rating security issues with CVSS 3.0

Dimitrios A. Glynos { daglyn at unipi.gr }

Department of Informatics University of Piraeus

## Part I

# **Vulnerability Scoring**

- CVSS<sup>1</sup> Common Vulnerability Scoring System
- A standard for software vulnerability scoring.
- Latest version is 4.0
- Allows to compare vulnerabilities by criticality.
- Allows to prioritize the fixing of critical vulnerabilities.
- We will explore version 3.0, as it is the one most widely adopted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://www.first.org/cvss/





- Base, Temporal and Environmental metrics
- Temporal is influenced by Base
- Environmental is influenced by Temporal
- Temporal and Environmental metrics are optional

- Attack Vector: Network / Adjacent / Local / Physical
- Attack Complexity: Low / High
- Privileges Required: None / Low / High
- User Interaction: None / Required
- Scope: Unchanged / Changed
- Confidentiality: None / Low / High
- Integrity: None / Low / High
- Availability: None / Low / High

- Exploit Code Maturity: Not Defined / Unproven / PoC / Functional / High
- Remediation Level: Not Defined / Official Fix / Temporary Fix / Workaround / Unavailable
- Report Confidence: Not Defined / Unknown / Reasonable / Confirmed

### **Environmental metrics**

- Confidentiality Requirement: Not Defined / Low / Medium / High
- Integrity Requirement: Not Defined / Low / Medium / High
- Confidentiality Requirement: Not Defined / Low / Medium / High
- Modified Attack Vector: Not Defined / Network / Adjacent / Local / Physical
- Modified Attack Complexity: Not Defined / Low / High
- Modified Privileges Required: Not Defined / None / Low / High
- Modified User Interaction: Not Defined / None / Required
- Modified Scope: Not Defined / Unchanged / Changed
- Modified Confidentiality: Not Defined / None / Low / High
- Modified Integrity: Not Defined / None / Low / High
- Modified Availability: Not Defined / None / Low / High

- Each metric contributes to the metric group score with a certain weight (depending on the option selected).
- Stakeholders may further classify (e.g. 0 "informational", 1-3 "low", 4-6 "medium", 7-10 "high") the following scores:
  - Base Score
  - Temporal Score
  - Environmental Score
- A default action can be selected for scores belonging to a particular class
  - We can proceed with a release if all issues are of **informational** or **low** class.
- Stakeholders examine the severity of issues in order to take decisions
  - release without a patch (i.e. make it "an accepted risk")
  - schedule the patch for the next planned release
  - release an urgent security update

- "Accepting risk occurs when the cost of managing a certain type of risk is accepted, because the risk involved is not adequate enough to warrant the added cost it will take to avoid that risk." (source: investopedia.com)
- Accepted risks need to be tracked and documented.

## Part II

## **Issue Tracking**

- Tracking security vulnerabilities within the lifetime of a project is essential as:
  - It allows for in-depth documentation of discovered security vulnerabilities which is crucial for developers.
  - It allows for prioritizing vulnerability fixing tasks.
  - It enables the management of risk throughout the lifecycle of a project.
  - It builds a knowledgebase on issues affecting the project.

- Vulnerabilities are typically tracked through an Issue Tracker.
- This can be a spreadsheet or an online bug tracking system, where vulnerabilities and their properties are recorded.
- Each issue gets a single record, that describes the issue and its current state in the project.
- An issue's vulnerability score may change over time, due to new security measures being introduced, new research findings, due to temporal factors or environmental factors.