

Singular Value Decomposition for Recommendation

① Let $\underline{R} = [R_{ij}]$ an $m \times n$ rating matrix where $R_{ij} \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ where the 0 value represents the absence of rating. Moreover, m denotes the number of users and n the number of items within a given database.

② The general aim of SVD is to determine the matrices $\underline{U} \in M_{m \times m}$ and $\underline{V} \in M_{n \times n}$ such that the original rating matrix \underline{R} can be written in the following form:

$$\underline{R} \approx \underline{U}^T \underline{V} \quad [1]$$

where \underline{U} is the users' matrix and \underline{V} is the items' matrix.

③ The decomposition problem outlined by Eq. 1 can be formally defined as:

$$\min_{\underline{U}, \underline{V}} \frac{1}{2} \|\underline{R} - \underline{U}^T \underline{V}\|_F^2 \quad [2] \quad \text{where } \|x\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m x_{ij}^2$$

④ The optimization problem defined in Eq. 2 cannot be solved when \underline{R} contains un-rated items. This entails, that the optimization problem must be re-formulated as:

$$\min_{\underline{U}, \underline{V}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n I_{ij} (R_{ij} - \langle u_i, v_j \rangle)^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \|\underline{U}\|_F^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \|\underline{V}\|_F^2 \quad [3]$$

Eq. 3 can be re-written as:

$$\min_{\underline{U}, \underline{V}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n I_{ij} (R_{ij} - U_i^T V_j)^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \|U_i\|^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \|V_j\|^2 \quad [4]$$

where \underline{I} serves as an indicator function such that:

$$I_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & R_{ij} \neq \emptyset; \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad [5]$$

Finally, Eq. 4 is re-written as:

$$\min_{\underline{U}, \underline{V}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n I_{ij} (R_{ij} - U_i^T V_j)^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m U_i^T U_i + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n V_j^T V_j \quad [6]$$

Therefore, by defining the objective function to be minimized $f(\underline{U}, \underline{V})$ as

$$f(\underline{U}, \underline{V}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n I_{ij} (R_{ij} - U_i^T V_j)^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m U_i^T U_i + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n V_j^T V_j \quad [7]$$

the optimization problem becomes:

$$\min_{\underline{U}, \underline{V}} f(\underline{U}, \underline{V}) \quad [8]$$

- ⑤ Solving the optimization problem defined in Eq. 8 by utilizing gradient descent we need to evaluate the following expressions:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{u}_i} f(\underline{u}, \underline{v}), \forall i \in \{m\} \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} f(\underline{u}, \underline{v}), \forall j \in \{n\}$$

which are equivalent to:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{u}_i} f(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = \nabla_{\underline{u}_i} f(\underline{u}, \underline{v}), \forall i \in \{m\} \quad [9]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} f(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = \nabla_{v_j} f(\underline{u}, \underline{v}), \forall j \in \{n\} \quad [10]$$

The final evaluation of expressions [9] and [10] yields that:

$$\nabla_{\underline{u}_i} f(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = \lambda_1 \underline{u}_i + \sum_{j=1}^n I_{ij} (\underline{u}_i^T \underline{v}_j - R_{ij}) \underline{v}_j \quad \forall i \in \{m\} \quad [11]$$

$$\nabla_{v_j} f(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = \lambda_2 v_j + \sum_{i=1}^m I_{ij} (\underline{u}_i^T \underline{v}_j - R_{ij}) \underline{u}_i \quad \forall j \in \{n\} \quad [12]$$

- ⑥ The gradient descent-based algorithm for determining the optimal values \underline{u}_i^* , $\forall i \in \{m\}$ and \underline{v}_j^* , $\forall j \in \{n\}$ would be the following:

► Repeat until convergence:

$$\underline{u}_i(t+1) = \underline{u}_i(t) - \gamma \nabla_{\underline{u}_i(t)} f(\underline{u}(t), \underline{v}(t))$$

$$\underline{v}_j(t+1) = \underline{v}_j(t) - \gamma \nabla_{v_j(t)} f(\underline{u}(t), \underline{v}(t))$$