# ΑΝΑΚΤΗΣΗ ΠΛΗΡΟΦΟΡΙΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΑΝΑΖΗΤΗΣΗ ΣΤΟΝ ΠΑΓΚΟΣΜΙΟ ΙΣΤΟ

Παροράματα από το Πανεπιστήμιο της Στουγκάρδης

Information Retrieval and Text Mining http://informationretrieval.org

IIR 1: Boolean Retrieval

#### Hinrich Schütze & Wiltrud Kessler

Institute for Natural Language Processing, University of Stuttgart

2012-10-16

## Take-away

- Boolean Retrieval: Design and data structures of a simple information retrieval system
- What topics will be covered in this class?

# Outline



- 2 Inverted index
- Processing Boolean queries
- Query optimization
- 5 Course overview

# Definition of information retrieval

Information retrieval (IR) is finding material (usually documents) of an unstructured nature (usually text) that satisfies an information need from within large collections (usually stored on computers).

# Unstructured (text) vs. structured (database) data in 1996



# Unstructured (text) vs. structured (database) data in 2006



#### Boolean retrieval

- The Boolean model is arguably the simplest model to base an information retrieval system on.
- $\bullet$  Queries are Boolean expressions, e.g.,  $\operatorname{CAESAR}$  and  $\operatorname{Brutus}$
- The seach engine returns all documents that satisfy the Boolean expression.

Does Google use the Boolean model?

Does Google use the Boolean model?

- On Google, the default interpretation of a query  $[w_1 \ w_2 \ \dots \ w_n]$  is  $w_1$  AND  $w_2$  AND  $\dots$  AND  $w_n$
- Cases where you get hits that do not contain one of the w<sub>i</sub>:
  - anchor text
  - page contains variant of  $w_i$  (morphology, spelling correction, synonym)
  - long queries (n large)
  - boolean expression generates very few hits
- Simple Boolean vs. Ranking of result set
  - Simple Boolean retrieval returns matching documents in no particular order.
  - Google (and most well designed Boolean engines) rank the result set they rank good hits (according to some estimator of relevance) higher than bad hits.

# Outline

## 1 Introduction

# Inverted index

Processing Boolean queries

Query optimization



### Unstructured data in 1650: Shakespeare



#### Unstructured data in 1650

- Which plays of Shakespeare contain the words BRUTUS AND CAESAR, but NOT CALPURNIA?
- One could grep all of Shakespeare's plays for BRUTUS and CAESAR, then strip out lines containing CALPURNIA.
- Why is grep not the solution?
  - Slow (for large collections)
  - grep is line-oriented, IR is document-oriented
  - "NOT CALPURNIA" is non-trivial
  - Other operations (e.g., find the word ROMANS near COUNTRYMAN) not feasible

# Term-document incidence matrix

. . .

	Anthony	Julius	The	Hamlet	Othello	Macbeth	
	and	Caesar	Tempest				
	Cleopatra						
Anthony	1	1	0	0	0	1	
Brutus	1	1	0	1	0	0	
CAESAR	1	1	0	1	1	1	
CALPURNIA	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Cleopatra	1	0	0	0	0	0	
MERCY	1	0	1	1	1	1	
WORSER	1	0	1	1	1	0	

Entry is 1 if term occurs. Example: CALPURNIA occurs in *Julius Caesar*. Entry is 0 if term doesn't occur. Example: CALPURNIA doesn't occur in *The tempest*.

- So we have a 0/1 vector for each term.
- To answer the query BRUTUS AND CAESAR AND NOT CALPURNIA:
  - Take the vectors for BRUTUS, CAESAR, and CALPURNIA
  - Complement the vector of CALPURNIA
  - Do a (bitwise) AND on the three vectors
  - 110100 and 110111 and 101111 = 100100

# $0/1\ vector$ for $\rm Brutus$

	Anthony	Julius	The	Hamlet	Hamlet Othello		
	and	Caesar	Tempest				
	Cleopatra						
ANTHONY	1	1	0	0	0	1	
Brutus	1	1	0	1	0	0	
CAESAR	1	1	0	1	1	1	
CALPURNIA	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Cleopatra	1	0	0	0	0	0	
MERCY	1	0	1	1	1	1	
WORSER	1	0	1	1	1	0	
result:	1	0	0	1	0	0	

Anthony and Cleopatra, Act III, Scene ii Agrippa [Aside to Domitius Enobarbus]: Why, Enobarbus, When Antony found Julius Caesar dead, He cried almost to roaring; and he wept When at Philippi he found Brutus slain.

*Hamlet, Act III, Scene ii* Lord Polonius:

I did enact Julius Caesar: I was killed i' the Capitol; Brutus killed me.

# **Bigger collections**

- Consider  $N = 10^6$  documents, each with about 1000 tokens
- $\Rightarrow$  total of 10<sup>9</sup> tokens
- On average 6 bytes per token, including spaces and punctuation  $\Rightarrow$  size of document collection is about  $6\cdot10^9=6~GB$
- Assume there are M = 500,000 distinct terms in the collection
- (Note that we are making a term/token distinction.)

# Can't build the incidence matrix

- $M = 500,000 \times 10^{6} =$  half a trillion 0s and 1s.
- But the matrix has no more than one billion 1s.
  - Matrix is extremely sparse.
- What is a better representations?
  - We only record the 1s.

## Inverted Index

For each term t, we store a list of all documents that contain t.



### Inverted index construction

#### Collect the documents to be indexed:

Friends, Romans, countrymen. So let it be with Caesar . .

**2** Tokenize the text, turning each document into a list of tokens:

Friends Romans countrymen So ...

Do linguistic preprocessing, producing a list of normalized tokens, which are the indexing terms:

friend roman countryman so ...

Index the documents that each term occurs in by creating an inverted index, consisting of a dictionary and postings.

# Tokenization and preprocessing

**Doc 1.** I did enact Julius Caesar: I was killed i' the Capitol; Brutus killed me.

**Doc 2.** So let it be with Caesar. The noble Brutus hath told you Caesar was ambitious:

**Doc 1.** i did enact julius caesar i was killed i' the capitol brutus killed me **Doc 2.** so let it be with caesar the noble brutus hath told you caesar was ambitious

# Generate postings

docID term did enact julius caesar was killed i' the capitol brutus Doc 1. i did enact julius caesar i was killed killed i' the capitol brutus killed me 1 me Doc 2. so let it be with caesar the \_ ~ 2 so noble brutus hath told you caesar was let 2 ambitious it 2 2 be with 2 2 caesar the 2 noble 2 brutus 2 hath 2 told 2 2 you 2 caesar 2 was ambitious 2

# Sort postings

term	docID		term	docID
i	1		ambitic	us 2
did	1		be	2
enact	1		brutus	1
julius	1		brutus	2
caesar	1		capitol	1
i	1		caesar	1
was	1		caesar	2
killed	1		caesar	2
i'	1		did	1
the	1		enact	1
capitol	1		hath	1
brutus	1		i	1
killed	1		i	1
me	1	$\implies$	i'	1
SO	2		it	2
let	2		julius	1
it	2		killed	1
be	2		killed	1
with	2		let	2
caesar	2		me	1
the	2		noble	2
noble	2		SO	2
brutus	2		the	1
hath	2		the	2
told	2		told	2
you	2		you	2
caesar	2		was	1
was	2		was	2
ambitio	us 2		with	2

# Create postings lists, determine document frequency

term	docIE	)				
ambitio	ous 2	2				
be	2	2	term	doc. frea.	$\rightarrow$	nostings lists
brutus	1		amhiti			2
brutus	2	2	be 1	005 1	_	2
capitol	1		be 1	2		1 1 2
caesar	1		brutus	2		$1 \rightarrow 2$
caesar	2	2	capito	1	$\rightarrow$	
caesar	1	2	caesar	2	$\rightarrow$	$1 \rightarrow 2$
did	1		did 1		$\rightarrow$	1
enact	1		enact	1	$\rightarrow$	1
hath	1		hath	1	$\rightarrow$	2
i	1		i 1	_	$\rightarrow$	1
i.	1		i' 1		$\rightarrow$	1
i'	1	$\Rightarrow$	it 1		$\rightarrow$	2
it	2	2	inlins	1	$\rightarrow$	1
julius	1	-	killed	-	_	1
killed	1	-	Killet 1	<u> </u>		2
killed	1		let 1	4		2
let	-	2	me 1		$\rightarrow$	1
me	1		noble	1	$\rightarrow$	2
noble	2	<u>'</u>	so 1		$\rightarrow$	2
so		<u>'</u>	the 2	2	$\rightarrow$	$1 \rightarrow 2$
the	1		told	1	$\rightarrow$	2
the	2	<u>'</u>	you	1	$\rightarrow$	2
toid		<u>.</u>	was	2	$\rightarrow$	$1 \rightarrow 2$
you	-	<u>.</u>	with	1	$\rightarrow$	2
was	1					
was	2	<u></u>				

2

with

# Split the result into dictionary and postings file





÷

postings file

#### Later in this course

- Index construction: how can we create inverted indexes for large collections?
- How much space do we need for dictionary and index?
- Index compression: how can we efficiently store and process indexes for large collections?
- Ranked retrieval: what does the inverted index look like when we want the "best" answer?

# Outline

#### 1 Introduction

#### 2 Inverted index

- Processing Boolean queries
- Query optimization

#### 5 Course overview

# Simple conjunctive query (two terms)

- Consider the query: BRUTUS AND CALPURNIA
- To find all matching documents using inverted index:
  - Locate BRUTUS in the dictionary
  - 2 Retrieve its postings list from the postings file
  - **3** Locate CALPURNIA in the dictionary
  - Retrieve its postings list from the postings file
  - Intersect the two postings lists
  - Return intersection to user

#### Intersecting two postings lists

- $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{Brutus} & \longrightarrow & 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 45 \rightarrow 173 \rightarrow 174 \\ \mathrm{CALPURNIA} & \longrightarrow & 2 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 54 \rightarrow 101 \\ \end{array}$ Intersection  $\implies & 2 \rightarrow 31 \\ \bullet & \text{This is linear in the length of the postings lists.} \end{array}$ 
  - Note: This only works if postings lists are sorted.

Intersecting two postings lists

```
INTERSECT(p_1, p_2)
      answer \leftarrow \langle \rangle
 1
    while p_1 \neq \text{NIL} and p_2 \neq \text{NIL}
 2
 3
     do if docID(p_1) = docID(p_2)
             then ADD(answer, doclD(p_1))
 4
 5
                    p_1 \leftarrow next(p_1)
 6
                     p_2 \leftarrow next(p_2)
             else if doclD(p_1) < doclD(p_2)
 7
 8
                        then p_1 \leftarrow next(p_1)
 9
                        else p_2 \leftarrow next(p_2)
10
      return answer
```

# Query processing: Exercise



Compute hit list for ((paris AND NOT france) OR lear)

#### **Boolean queries**

- The Boolean retrieval model can answer any query that is a Boolean expression.
  - Boolean queries are queries that use AND, OR and NOT to join query terms.
  - Views each document as a set of terms.
  - Is precise: Document matches condition or not.
- Primary commercial retrieval tool for 3 decades
- Many professional searchers (e.g., lawyers) still like Boolean queries.
  - You know exactly what you are getting.
- Many search systems you use are also Boolean: spotlight, email, intranet etc.

# Commercially successful Boolean retrieval: Westlaw

- Largest commercial legal search service in terms of the number of paying subscribers
- Over half a million subscribers performing millions of searches a day over tens of terabytes of text data
- The service was started in 1975.
- In 2005, Boolean search (called "Terms and Connectors" by Westlaw) was still the default, and used by a large percentage of users ...
- ... although ranked retrieval has been available since 1992.

# Westlaw: Example queries

*Information need:* Information on the legal theories involved in preventing the disclosure of trade secrets by employees formerly employed by a competing company

*Query:* "trade secret" /s disclos! /s prevent /s employe!

*Information need:* Requirements for disabled people to be able to access a workplace

*Query:* disab! /p access! /s work-site work-place (employment /3 place)

Information need: Cases about a host's responsibility for drunk guests

Query: host! /p (responsib! liab!) /p (intoxicat! drunk!) /p guest

# Westlaw: Comments

- Proximity operators: /3 = within 3 words, /s = within a sentence, /p = within a paragraph
- Space is disjunction, not conjunction! (This was the default in search pre-Google.)
- Long, precise queries: incrementally developed, not like web search
- Why professional searchers often like Boolean search: precision, transparency, control
- When are Boolean queries the best way of searching? Depends on: information need, searcher, document collection, ...

# Outline

#### 1 Introduction

#### 2 Inverted index

- Processing Boolean queries
- Query optimization

#### 5 Course overview

# Query optimization

- Consider a query that is an AND of n terms, n > 2
- For each of the terms, get its postings list, then AND them together
- Example query: BRUTUS AND CALPURNIA AND CAESAR
- What is the best order for processing this query?

# Query optimization

- Example query: BRUTUS AND CALPURNIA AND CAESAR
- Simple and effective optimization: Process in order of increasing frequency
- Start with the shortest postings list, then keep cutting further
- In this example, first CAESAR, then CALPURNIA, then BRUTUS

BRUTUS  $\longrightarrow$   $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 45 \rightarrow 173 \rightarrow 174$ CALPURNIA  $\longrightarrow$   $2 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 54 \rightarrow 101$ CAESAR  $\longrightarrow$   $5 \rightarrow 31$ 

# Optimized intersection algorithm for conjunctive queries

INTERSECT $(\langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle)$ 

- 1 *terms*  $\leftarrow$  SORTByINCREASINGFREQUENCY( $\langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$ )
- 2 result  $\leftarrow$  postings(first(terms))
- 3 *terms*  $\leftarrow$  *rest*(*terms*)
- 4 while *terms*  $\neq$  NIL and *result*  $\neq$  NIL
- 5 **do** result  $\leftarrow$  INTERSECT(result, postings(first(terms)))

6 
$$terms \leftarrow rest(terms)$$

7 return result

# More general optimization

- Example query: (MADDING OR CROWD) AND (IGNOBLE OR STRIFE)
- Get frequencies for all terms
- Estimate the size of each OR by the sum of its frequencies (conservative)
- Process in increasing order of OR sizes